



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,393	06/07/2001	Keith Nugent	13113-002001	7770
75	90 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
Timothy A French			NGUYEN, SANG H	
Fish & Richard	son			
225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02110-2804			2877	
,				

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/830,393	NUGENT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	sang nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comr ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u> April 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-109 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-109 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 25 and 26 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Because Claims 25 and 26 are vague and unclear because it is whether they are independent or dependent on claims 25 and 26. It is assumed that claims 25 and 26 are the independent claims, Applicant should include all of the limitations of claim 1 and if claims 25 and 26 are dependent on claim 1, Applicant should required amend the claims 25 and 26 to place the method claim form. Correction is required.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24 and 27-107, drawn to apparatus and method of quantitative determination of the phase of a radiation wave field, imaging an object, and phase amplitude imaging of an object, classified in class 356, subclass 121.
- II. Claims 25-26 and 108-109, drawn to a computer program for processing a representative measure of the rate of change of intensity of a radiation wave field over a selected surface extending generally across the wave field, classified in class 707, subclass 104.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/830,393

Art Unit: 2877

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as an array of nucleic acid probes for extracting information of the monomer sequence of DNA or RNA.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. William E. Booth on March 17, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/830,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2425. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Nguyen/SN

March 17, 2004

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2877
Technology Center 2800